

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,362	03/21/2001	Ken Chow	17243CIP3(AP)	4078
7590 02/10/2004			EXAMINER	
Carlos A. Fish ALLERGAN, I			ANDERSON, REBECCA L	
T2-2E 2525 Dupont Drive Irvine, CA 92623			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626 ' DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Commence	09/815,362	CHOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rebecca L Anderson	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiliure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-108 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-108</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>108</u> is/are allowed.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>108</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-15,20,21,26,38,46,50-55,71,89,97,101,103 and 104</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re- i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not re-	lication No ceived in this National Stage ceived.				
since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	t sentence of the specification	on or in an Application Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 5,6,16-19,22-25,27-37,39-45,47-49,56-70,72-88,90-96,98-100,102 and 105-107.

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-108 are currently pending in the instant application. Claim 108 is appears allowable over the prior art of record. Claims 1-4, 7-15, 20, 21, 26, 38, 46, 50-55, 71, 89, 97, 101, 103 and 104 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter. Claims 5, 6, 16-19, 22-25, 27-37, 39-45, 47-49, 56-70, 72-88, 90-96, 98-100, 102 and 105-107 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Inventorship

In view of the papers filed 13 November 2003, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by adding Todd M. Heidelbaugh as a joint inventor.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Election/Restrictions

In applicants response to the Office Action of 12 February 2003, received 11 August 2003, applicants representative argues that the Office did not make a restriction requirement in the Office Action of November 6, 2002, but the office made an election of species requirement. Applicants representative also argues that the examiner also formulated her own "generic claim" of which applicants representative asserts the

Art Unit: 1626

applicant has not had a chance to accept or object and withdrawn all claims not encompassed by this "generic claim".

It is noted that the Office Action dated November 6, 2002 stated that:

Claims1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising for example, the compound of (1) example E-1 page 89, (2) C-2 page 89, (3) J-2 page 90, (4) C-6 page 91, (5) B-6 page 95 (6) K-3 page 98, etc. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

The Office Action dated November 6, 2002 also stated that:

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of a single disclosed species even though the requirement be traversed. Upon election of a single disclosed species, a generic concept inclusive of the elected species will be identified by the Examiner for examination along with the elected species.

Therefore, the Office Action dated November 6, 2002, as stated in the first paragraph of the action, did include a restriction requirement under 35 USC 121. It is also noted that an election of species is considered a restriction as can be seen by section 802.02 of the MPEP:

Restriciton, a generic term, includes the practice of requiring an election between distinct inventions, for example, election between combination and subcombination inventions, and the practice relating to an election between independent inventions, for example, and election of species.

In the Office Action of 12 February 2003, the examiner formulated a generic concept, which followed the statement of the Office Action of 6 November 2002 which disclosed that upon the election of a single disclosed species, a generic concept inclusive of the elected species will be identified by the Examiner for examination along with the elected species. In the Office Action dated 12 February 2003, the Office reviewed the claims and disclosure to determine the scope of the independent invention

Art Unit: 1626

encompassing the elected invention (generic concept) and proceeded the search and examination of same. The restriction requirement which states the elected invention (generic concept) of the Office Action dated 12 February 2003 was not made final in that action, thereby giving applicant an opportunity to accept or object to the elected invention identified as the generic concept.

Accordingly, as stated in the previous Office Action,

The elected compound has resulted in the following invention (generic concept), class 548 subclass 335.1, for search and examination:

The elected and examined invention is the compound as found in claim 1 wherein:

x is 1 or 2;

 R_1 is independently selected from the group consisting of H; halogen; C_{1-4} alkyl; C_{1-4} alkenyl; C_{1-4} alkynyl; --COR₄ where R_4 is H, C_{1-4} alkyl or C_{1-4} alkoxy; C_{3-6} cycloalkyl; aryl, cyano, nitro; trihalomethyl; oxo; or $-(CH_2)_n$ -X- $(CH_2)_m$ - $(R_5)_o$ where **X** is O, S or **N**, **n** is 0-3, **o** is 0-1, and R_5 is methyl or H_{1-2} ;

 R_2 and R_3 together consist of a partly saturated ring structure containing one double bond, wherein the double bond is shared with the ring including Y, having the formula $-(C(R_6)_p)_q$ - X_s - $(C(R_6)_p)_r$ - X_t - $(C(R_6)_p)_u$ where each R_6 is independently selected from the group consisting of H; halogen; C_{1-4} alkyl; C_{1-4} alkenyl; C_{1-4} alkynyl; --COR₄ where R_4 is H, C_{1-4} alkyl or C_{1-4} alkoxy; C_{3-6} cycloalkyl; aryl; cyano; nitro; trihalomethyl and oxo where each p is independently 1 or 2; q is 0-4, r is 0-4, r is 0-4; each r is independently O, S or N and r is 0; r provided that r is 4;

Art Unit: 1626

and the ring including **Y** is a cyclohexane ring containing one double bond which is shared with the ring formed by R2 and R3 (a cyclohexane ring containing one double bound which is shared with the ring formed by R2 and R3 defines Y as (C(R7)z)s wherein each R7 is independently as previously defined for R1 above, each z is independently 1-2 and s is 1).

The remaining subject matter of claims 1-4, 7-15, 20, 21, 26, 38, 46, 50-55, 71, 89, 97, 101, 103 and 104 and the subject matter of claims 5, 6, 16-19, 22-25, 27-37, 39-45, 47-49, 56-70, 72-88, 90-96, 98-100, 102 and 105-107 stands withdrawn, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being for non-elected inventions. The remaining compounds which are not within the elected invention, which are independent and distinct from the elected invention as they differ materially and structurally in composition and element, do not have unity with the elected compounds and therefore are withdrawn by means of a restriction requirement within the claims are, for example, the compounds of the formula as found in claim 1 wherein R1 is independently selected from the group consisting of -COR4 wherein R4 is heteroaryl, wherein R2 and R3 are independently selected from the group consisting of H, halogen, C1-4alkyl, C1-4alkenyl, C1-4 alkynyl, -COR4, wherein R2 and R3 together consist of a saturated, partly saturated, or unsaturated ring structure having the formula $-(C(R_6)_p)_q-X_s-(C(R_6)_p)_r-X_t-(C(R_6)_p)_u$ where each R6 is -COR4 wherein R4 is heteroaryl and X is independently O, S or N and s and t are zero, wherein each R6 is independently selected from the group consisting of H; halogen; C₁₋ 4alkyl; C₁₋₄alkenyl; C₁₋₄alkynyl; --COR₄ where R₄ is H, C₁₋₄ alkyl or C₁₋₄ alkoxy; C₃₋ 6cycloalkyl; aryl; cyano; nitro; trihalomethyl and oxo where each p is independently 1 or

Art Unit: 1626

2; q is 0-5, r is 0-5, u is 0-5; each X is independently O, S or N and s is 1 or wherein Y is O, S or N, Y1CH2, -CH=CH- or -CH=. Examples of specific compounds which are withdrawn are, for example, the compound of claim 25 which contains a piperidine ring, the compound of claim 85 which contains a hydrogenated quinoline, etc.

The above mentioned withdrawn compounds which are withdrawn form consideration as being for non-elected subject matter differ materially in structure and composition from the elected invention. The withdrawn compounds differ from those of the elected invention by, for example, by a piperidine, and a quinoline, which are chemically recognized to differ in structure and function. This recognized chemical diversity of the functional groups can be seen by the various classification of the products in the U.S. classification system, i.e. class 546 subclass 134 (quinoline) and class 546 subclass 184 (piperidine).

Therefore, again, the compounds which are withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter differ materially in structure and composition and have been restricted properly as a reference which anticipated but the elected subject matter would not even render obvious the non-elected subject matter. Nowhere do applicants aruge to the contrary. Nowhere do applicants point out and give reasons why the claims do not involve independent or distinct subject matter. These withdrawn compounds are independent and distinct from the elected invention and do not have unity with the elected compound and are therefore withdrawn by means of a restriction requirement within the claims under 35 USC 121. Under 35 USC 121, the claims may be restricted and the examination limited to a restricted invention.

Art Unit: 1626

Accordingly, the claims are drawn to more than a single invention and restriction as has been required is proper, 37 CFR 1.142(a) and is therefor made FINAL.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-4, 7-15, 20, 21, 26, 38, 46, 50-55, 71, 89, 97, 101, 103 and 104 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter. The claims presented drawn solely to the elected subject matter as indicated supra would appear allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rebecca L. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 605-1157. Mrs. Anderson can normally be reached Monday through Friday 5:30am-2:00pm.

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph McKane, can be reached at (703) 30804537.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone numbers are (703) 308-1235 and (703) 308-0196.

A facsimile center has been established. The hours or operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45am-4:45pm. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 872-9306.

Rebecca Anderson
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1626, Group 1620
Technology Center 1600

Joseph McKane Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600